Dr. F. King Alexander  
President  
Murray State University  
218 Wells Hall  
Murray, KY 42071-3318  

Dear Dr. Alexander:

The following action regarding your institution was taken at the December 2005 meeting of the Commission on Colleges:

The Commission reviewed the First Monitoring Report following reaffirmation. No additional report was requested.

We appreciate your continued support of the activities of the Commission on Colleges. If you have questions, please contact the staff member assigned to your institution.

Sincerely,

Belle S. Wheelan, Ph.D.  
President  
Commission on Colleges  
BSW:ch  

cc: Dr. Michael S. Johnson
January 6, 2005

Dr. F. King Alexander
President
Murray State University
218 Wells Hall
Murray, KY 42071-3318

Dear Dr. Alexander:

The following action regarding your institution was taken at the December 2004 meeting of the Commission on Colleges:

The Commission reaffirmed accreditation with a request for a First Monitoring Report due September 22, 2005, addressing the visiting committee recommendation applicable to the following referenced Principles:

**CS 3.4.7 (All Educational Programs), Recommendation 2**

The institution has not documented compliance with its responsibilities in regard to the Commission's substantive change requirements for consortia arrangements, contractual programs, and centers at other locations.

The report should document that all institutional responsibilities have been met, including the substantive change requirements, expectations for programs at regional locations, and programs offered through distance learning.

All institutions are requested to submit an "Impact Report of the Quality Enhancement Plan on Student Learning" five years after their reaffirmation review. Institutions will be notified by the Executive Director regarding its specific due date. For more information regarding the Impact Report, access [www.sacscoc.org/commpub1.asp#Policies](http://www.sacscoc.org/commpub1.asp#Policies) and click onto "Reports Submitted for Committee or Commission Review."

Guidelines for the additional report also are enclosed. Because it is essential that institutions follow these guidelines, please make certain that those responsible for preparing the report receive the document. If they have questions about the format, contact the Commission staff member assigned to your institution. When submitting your report, please send the original and three copies to your Commission staff member.

Please note that Federal regulations and Commission policy stipulate that an institution must remedy deficiencies within two years following the Commission's initial action on the institution. At the end of that two-year period, if the institution is not in compliance with the Principles of Accreditation, representatives from the institution may be required to appear before the Commission, or one of its standing committees, to answer questions as to why the institution should not be removed from membership. If the Commission determines good cause at that time, the Commission may extend the period for coming into compliance for a minimum of six months and a maximum of two years and must place the institution on Probation. If the Commission does not determine good cause, the institution must be removed from membership. (See enclosed Commission policy "Sanctions, Denial of Reaffirmation, and Removal from Membership.")

We appreciate your continued support of the activities of the Commission on Colleges. If you have questions, please contact the staff member assigned to your institution.

Sincerely,

James T. Rogers
Executive Director
Commission on Colleges

JTR:ch

Enclosures

cc: Dr. W. Donald Crump